

MARMARA UNIVERSITY - FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

2022-2023 Fall

CSE4093 Special Topics in Computer Engineering

COURSE DESCRIPTION FORM

Offering Department Department of Computer Engineering						Technical Elective							
Course Code		CSE409	13										
Course Name		Special Topics in Computer Engineering											
Language of Ins	struction	English	glish										
ECTS		5											
Contact Hours Theoretical (Practice (P): 0 Laboratory(L): 0									
Pre-requisites				.					.4				
Instructor		Name		Borahan Tümer									
		E-mail		borahan.tumer@marmara.edu.tr									
Course Materials		Mandatory											
				Introduction to Reinforcement Learning: an Introduction by R.S. Sutton and A.G. Barto, MIT Press Selected papers such as Between MDPs and Semi-MDPs: Learning, Planning, and Representing Knowledge at Multiple Temporal Scale, Sutton RC, Precup D; Transfer Learning for Reinforcement Learning Domains: A Survey, Taylor ME Stone P; Evolving Neural Networks through Augmenting Topologies, Stanley KO, Miikkulainen R									
Course Objectives		The goal of this class is to equip the undergraduate students with the basic principles of reinforcement learning (RL). RL is inspired from the way humans and other mammals use to develop strategies/solutions to fulfill their daily tasks. RL is a learning technique that the learner extracts from its experience by interacting with its environment. The following outline will be followed throughout the class:											
Course Content	:	Evaluative versus instructive Feedback – How to set up an RL Problem – Dynamic programming for policy evaluation & search – Monte Carlo Methods – Temporal Difference Learning: model-free RL agents – Eligibility Traces – Planning and Learning: Model based RL agents – Advanced topics in RL - Potential research areas											
		LO1	Explain the difference between evaluative (RL) and instructive (supervised learning) feedback.										
		LO2	Model environment and use policy evaluation and iteration methods in sequential decision making (g (SDM)			
Learning Outcomes		LO3	problems Oscillatory Explain the difference between and implement Monte-Carlo and Temporal Difference (TD) methods to SDM problems								ds to		
		LO4	gibility traces when integrated to TD methods										
		LO5											
Program Outco	mes					LO1	LO2	LO3	LO4	LO5			
PO1		Adequat	Adequate knowledge in mathematics, science (a)										
		and computer engineering subjects (b) pertaining to the relevant discipline (1); ability to use theoretical and applied information in these areas to model and solve engineering problems (2).				1b	1b	1b	1b	1b			
PO4		Ability to devise (a), select, and use (b) modern techniques and tools needed for engineering practice (1); ability to employ information technologies effectively (2).				1b	1b		1b				
PO5		Ability to design (a) and conduct experiments, gather data (b), analyze and interpret results for investigating engineering problems (c).				abc	abc		abc				
	No	Week	Subject	S		LO1	LO2	LO3	LO4	LO5			
	S 1	1		tion+basic concepts									
Subjects (Knowledge, Skills and Behaviours), Contributions of Subjects to Learning Outcomes, Assessment Methods	S2	2-3		ve Feedback & its Differ ructive Feedback	ences								
	S 3	4-5	of RL	ıp an RL Problem – Elei									
	S4	6-7		f Dynamic Programming – the of Perfect environment model									
	S 5	8		arlo Methods – Case of									
	33			erfect model									
	S6	9	value ad MC meth estimate "bootstra										
	S 7	10	Eligibility										
	S8	11-12 13-14		y & Learning – partial mo									
S9				Envs., NEAT, TL, etc)									

Assessment Methods and Weights	No Type		Weight	Implementation Rule	Make-up Rule		
	MF	Midterm, Final	%(75)	It is allowed to have an A4 size handwritten cheat sheet in the exams. Any kind of calculators or communication devices are not allowed.	Marmara University regulations will be followed for make-up exams.		
	P	P Project		2 projects are assigned each with a due date 2 weeks after the assignment.	Late projects are evaluated on a prorated basis up to 5 days with 10% off per day of their original grade. The grade for unsubmitted project is zero.		
	Q Quiz		%5	There are 2-3 pop-up quizzes. Any kind of notes, calculators or communication devices are not allowed.	No make-up for quizzes.		
	TOTAL		%100				

- The letter grades will be determined based on the midterm and final exams, quizzes and project.
- In order to determine the letter grade, a curve or catalog based method will be followed based on the total average scores
 of the students.

Determining Letter Grades

The final exam score and the total average score of the student must be at least 35 to pass the course.
 Marmara University Undergraduate regulations for the final exam apply: (the final exam's weight is at least 40 out of 100.

Assessment	Midterm	Project	Quizzes	Final	TOTAL
Weight	35	20	5	40	100

	vveignt		35	20	5	40	100				
	Time Applied by Instructor										
	No Method		Explan	ation				Hours			
	1	Lectures	Lecture questio	ple	14x3=42						
	2	Problem Session/ Practice	Probler		-						
	3	Laboratory	Experir during	1	-						
	4	Interactive Courses		Questions are asked to students during lectures and they are encouraged to guess the answers (peer learning is also in this category)							
Teaching	5	Field Work	Studen		-						
Method,	6	Midterm	Midterr		2						
Student Work	7	Final	Final e		2						
Load	Estimated Time to be Allocated by a Student										
	8	Project	The stu design	,	2x24=48						
	9	Homework	The stu								
	10	Pre-class learning of Course Material	The stu		14						
	11	Review of Course Material	Studen the exa	for	14						
	12	2 Office Hour		Students ask questions to the instructor or the assistant during office hours.							
	TOTA	L						124			
	Violations of scholastic honesty include, but are not limited to cheating, plagiarizing, fabricating information or citations, facilitate										

Academic Honesty

acts of dishonesty by others, having unauthorized possession of examinations, submitting work of another person or work previously used without informing the instructor, or tampering with the academic work of other students.

In case academic dishonesty is observed, the decision maker as the first authority is the instructor of the course. Based upon its severity, the instructor may decide to give the student zero for the homework(s)/lab(s)/exam(s), give the letter grade FF, or may take disciplinary action.